

The Media

In America ©

Dr. Adrian H. Krieg
Of: A2Z Publications
For: The American Nationalist Union

This document is the product of the American Nationalist Union ®
10161 Park Run Drive
Suite 150
Las Vegas, NV 89145

This essay is Copy written January 2009 and printed in the United States

No part of this document may be reproduced without the express written permission of the ANU.

For permission to quote excerpts contact
Don Wassal at 1-702-851-5861

*It is an unreasonable assertion, "that the media
Aught to print only what they approve"; since
If all media should make such a declaration, and
stand by it, an end would thereby be put to free expression
and the world would have nothing to read but the jaded
opinions of journalists.*

Thank God for foreign media sources!

As Otto von Bismark so aptly put it "the press is not public opinion". Or perhaps more pointedly James Fenimore Cooper The press like fire is an excellent servant, but a terrible master. The greatest propagandist of the 20th century was Dr. Goebbels the Nazi minister of information. It was Goebbels who wrote the book on propaganda. Designations of media manipulation are called propaganda; it is the process of imbuing the author's opinion upon the public utilizing a number of procedures. These are: Omission, which is the, Elimination of pertinent information relating to any issue that will then not allow the reader to reach a rational understanding of the subject, Distortion, which is the process of altering information so as to lead the reader to a preplanned outcome, Lying is the process of simply dishonestly reporting events, and lastly Inventing, which is the process of conjuring up events that never took place. American media broadly uses all these methods.

I would also admonish you to understand that journalists in this century and the last have proven themselves to be lazy, and incapable of properly researching topics. The most frequent means of verification of journalistic expression is to quote the statements of other journalists or of politicians that may well be off the mark, or more exactly driven by some pre-ordained outcome goal. The process of filtering information by simply not reporting important and worthwhile events is even more common. A case in point is as follows: Early on a Friday morning during the recent Israeli/Palestinian conflict Condolezza Rice was in the process of instructing our UN ambassador that America was planning to bring a cease fire resolution to the UN Security Council. Not surprisingly the information was leaked to the Israeli ambassador. Olmert the Israeli prime minister said. "*We did not want America to bring this matter before the Security Council so I told my secretary to get Bush on the phone". They told me that Bush was on a lectern giving a speech in Philadelphia. I said, I'm not interested in what he's doing get him on the phone now!*" Geroge excused himself to his audience and took the call from Olmert and then immediately quashed the cease-fire proposal. Now That's NEWS! It was not reported in America but widely so in Israel.

The "controlled" American media and by that I mean printed, TV, radio, as well as publication of books, magazines, periodicals, and so forth, is a proven issue. The degree by which American media is controlled is simply stupefying. To come to an understanding of this subject, which by the way is the cause of your personal opinion on all issues, we must delve into the entire way that the media functions. I will use a Newspaper as an example. I am not singling out any one

paper because it is worse than any other, but simply as an example. There are less than 300 independent newspapers left in America. In 1950 there were over 3,000, so my example is simply a symptomatic one of a situation that is prevalent in the entire news distribution means of North America. The paper is the Florida, Sarasota/Bradenton Herald Tribune a subsidiary of the NY Times. The NY Times has 18 subsidiaries including the Boston Globe and the International Herald Tribune, and is far from the largest newspaper conglomerate, but it is without doubt, together with the Washington Post the most influential in America.

If you as a journalist work for the financially challenged NY Times or any of its affiliates you cannot possibly miss the fact that the chain's owners are Jewish, and anyone who thinks that this will not have an effect on what is reported and how it is reported you must be naive'. If you per example were writing a story on Benjamin Madoff (\$50 billion), or Benjamin Shalom Bernanke (payola master for banksters), or Arthur Nadel (\$350 million) or perhaps a news item on the Israeli/Palestinian conflict you as an author would take into count who and what your ultimate boss is. Anyone that disputes this is lying. So, we see that the first hurdle is the ownership paradigm, which if uniform in membership of one belief system, has an oppressive effect on the outcome of what is to be reported. In America this is of particular importance because Time, News Week, US News and World Report, The NY Times, The Washington Post, International Herald Tribune The Newhouse Group, and the Wall Street Journal that are the opinion forming publications in America are all owned and controlled by Jews. This especially makes all news reported on from the Middle East jaded. I call this the ownership hurdle.

The second issue relates to the political affiliation of the Journalists as well as publishers and editors. In every conducted poll taken from 1985 to 2008 in the journalistic enterprise in America virtually all journalists report themselves to be politically liberal, most adhere to the "Progressive" (socialist) arm of the Democrat party, all support issues that conflicts with the majority of the American population. And without question Jews represent an over percentage of journalists, and editors, relative to their percentage of the population by a factor of at least ten. This results in a Middle East reportage' that is completely biased pro-Israel, exactly where most international news comes from at this time.

The third important factor is zionist supremacy organizations like AIPAC that influence reported news in several different ways. Firstly it must be recognized that AIPAC is a Zionist elitist organization, and that membership in AIPAC is considered a necessity among zionists who want to get ahead. Thus we see that in the upper circles of the media as Arthur Ochs Sulzberger, NY Times Publisher, Newhouse is owned by Advance Pub. Inc. CEO is Samuel I. Newhouse, and Donald Graham CEO of the Washington Post are not only members of AIPAC but large contributors to it. When you add JDL and Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC) into this mix you can surmise a two-pronged attack against any opposition. Firstly by pressure on journalists from within the organization and secondly against any outside opposition especially from organizations like the JDL and SPLC who will vociferously, and most regularly on unfounded grounds, attack any opposition calling them Nazis, Skin Heads, White

Supremacists, and whatever other fabrications they can muster up. Morris Dees the head of SPLC is hardly a pillar of any community.

The forth leg of this chair is CAMERA (Committee for Accuracy in Middle East Reporting in America) this is the pit bull of the Israeli lobby that enforces edicts any Israeli propaganda. These guys don't just distort news they create it malign it invent it, and if that doesn't do the trick they try to attack the messenger of the news, often with frivolous law suites and slander.

The last leg is the fact that advertisers have undue influence on all media. In a time when industry stalwarts like the NY Times find themselves in dire financial difficulty, advertising revenue becomes an even greater issue than when things are humming along well. An examination of advertising revenue' to per example the NY Times based on religious affiliation demonstrates the Times major advertisers (over half) are Jews. This may not have an immediate direct effect on editorial content but in the long run it influences editorial direction just as Jewish management does.

Let's get down to cases. When you hear or read a report of a death in the Palestinian/Israeli conflict one thing never varies. Palestinian deaths that now as of January 22nd exceeds 1,400 and serious injuries that are over 5,500, are always simply reported as uneventful numbers, while any Israeli deaths reports the name shows family members in mourning coffins draped with Israeli flags, gnashing of teeth tears at infenitum. This is pretty hard to do when you have 14 Israeli deaths, to over 1,400 Palestinian ones. "Forty Palestinians were killed in a school in Gaza that launched mortar rounds into Israel", was the report on American News. What actually took place was as follows, reported by the BBC, Swiss Radio and DW. The IDF attacked a UN Christian school that was used as an air defense bunker by women and children. The 40 deaths were exclusively women and children. The UN had provided the IDF the GPS location and told them it was a safe haven for women and children. And the UN adamantly claims that no mortar rounds were fired from anyplace near this shelter. The commanding IDF general said he would investigate. The IDF used the GPS data from the UN to target the shelter. Let's look at another case; five boys aged from 5 to 8 years were killed in a playground by an Israeli booby trap that was purposely placed in the playground to kill innocent children. And then we have the two pre-teen girls who had been shot dead at close range and the third sister who lost her legs and the mother who was also shot all by an IDF soldier. The BBC reported over 15 corroborations of witnesses who did not know each other and reported the story the exact same way. The IDF commanding general said he would investigate. These issues were never reported in America at all by any American media source, they had wide coverage all over Europe. And lastly two Norwegian Doctors went to Gaza to help administer medical help to the over 5,500 wounded Palestinians from the IDF bombings. Drs. Mads Gilbert and Eric Fosse came to Gaza on the 31st of December and produced a video from Shifa Hospital the largest operating hospital in Gaza. They reported on January 6th, that just over half of the injured were women and children and when asked how many Hamas "terrorists" by the BBC reporter, they said that in six days they had

seen one freedom fighter. This story like the one before never made a single American news outlet.

Some bulletins posted in Europe:

Jan 10/09 The International Committee of the Red Cross in Geneva reports that IDF forces refused them access to a bombed out residence, after four hours they relented, the mother and father and three kids were dead one 16 year old boy survived severely wounded.

Jan 11/09 The American reported news reported that Hamas had once again shot rockets at Israel, the same news in Europe reported that Palestinian resistance had fired multiple rockets at an IDF military staging area inside Israel.

Jan 12, AM /09 Israeli naval forces began a bombardment of Gaza City residential area using UN and Internationally outlawed white phosphorous ammunition against civilians, by sunrise seven people had been killed and scores of children with deep flesh burns turned up at hospitals.

Jan 11/09 IDF arrests over 500 Israeli peace activists inside Israel, after a huge anti-war demonstration as of 1/14, 200 of them remain in jail.

Jan 12/09 noon Israel Knesset bans the Israeli peace political party Balad from future participation in elections.

As to the cease fire, it was not the Palestinians who broke it, but Israel on November the first when it bombed Gaza and killed six Palestinians, and then a second bombardment on the 17th of November.

The fact that twenty Israelis were killed in the last ten years is not only regrettable it is criminal but also to compare that with over 1,400 dead and over 5,500 wounded Palestinians in three weeks, is un-proportional and indicates the vast state-terrorism practiced by Israel. After all they could have had peace had they accepted the Saudi Arabian initiative that was presented by the Arab League in 2006. Is this ethnic cleansing, or what?

This does sadly not surprise me because I well remember all those horrible atrocities carried out by Israel. In the Lebanon invasion of 1982, 17,500 dead over 75% women and children. In the Shabra and Chatila refugee camps where Sharon (Ashkenazi name Schleineman) ordered the murder of 1,700 Palestinians, by the Phalangist militia, which granted him the Arab title "The Butcher" in the entire Middle East. The 1996 massacre of 106 Lebanese children and women refugees at a UN base that was destroyed by Israeli artillery fire. And who could possible forget the 2006 assault on Lebanon for the crime of killing three Israeli IDF soldiers and the capture of two others caught well inside of Lebanese territory. It resulted in the total destruction of Lebanon's infrastructure and the deaths of thousands of civilian Lebanese Christians and Muslims. One of the IDF targets was the Christian neighborhood of Beirut, where no Israeli opponents lived All this will continue until America stops funding the Israeli war machine.

I must admit that I am a news junkie and as I speak German as well as English and can passably understand several other languages, and read Swiss, German, English and other newspapers I am relatively well informed. My friends who are stuck with the local Fishwrap, TV and Radio really don't have a clue. In radio like in newspapers beginning in the mid 90's an enormous consolidation

began. Through the enactment of the Clinton ratified American Communications Act, America lost over 1,000 independent radio stations. This disaster was strongly supported by the FCC and had been instituted at the insistence of Hillary Clinton to stem the unjust evil "Right Wing Conspiracy" against the Democrats and her husband. I can only say that the truth must indeed be painful for the political left. Without question the "Fairness Doctrine" will again rear its ugly head at the insistence of the "Progressives" to once and for all silence all conservative impute to public discourse.

One of the most prevalent lies by the media that is widely propagated through AIPAC and JDL is that Israel is a democracy. In fact Israel is a socialist Zionist theocracy just as Iran, Israel's nemesis, is a socialist Islamic theocracy. The key words in both is socialist and theocracy. The basic difference between the two is that Iran is a monotheistic theocracy based in Islam whereas Israel is a Zionist agnostic theocracy based on atheist philosophy in the Zionist concepts of Ashkenazi super-supremacy, revolving around the fairy tale that their God gave Jews the entire Middle East. Whatever, and what God gave, he did not give to anything to Ashkenazi Zionists that have absolutely no connection to the Hebrews of the Old Testament (the Torah) being derived from the Khazarian kingdom that converted to Judaism in the 6th century.

Another lie is that Israel is America's closest ally in the Middle East. Well, let us all remember that before Israel and the takeover of the American political process by Zionists that America prior to 1948 had no enemies in the entire Middle East.

It is indicative of Israeli propaganda to always refer to Hamas, or for that matter any social or political entity that opposes them, as terrorists. If that does not work they always hoist petard of anti-Semitism, or to call the messenger a Nazi. They have been eminently successful in convincing Americans that this is a proven fact. Yet the cause of Palestinian opposition to Israel is directly related to acts and actions of the Israeli government vs. Palestine and Christian as well as Muslim Arabs. An over 40 year illegal (over 70 UN resolutions) occupation of Gaza and the West Bank. The continuous expansion of "Settlements" (socialist/communist Kibbutzim's) of which there are over 200 remaining in the West Bank. The expansion of Jerusalem through the expulsion of Arab residents. And lastly the practice of systematically ethnically cleansing all occupied territories and of stealing residential, farm, and business property. These are indisputable facts that are totally ignored by the Politically Correct, (When it meets their outcome goal) American media.

A prime issue in the war now waged in Palestine is the concept of terrorism. I submit that terrorism is the "Systems" new bogie man now that the "Evil Empire" has evaporated. For eons governments have created enemies in order to control their own populations. All you have to do is read George Orwell's 1984 to see how this works. Let me be right up front with you, the idea that you can fight an abstraction like terrorism with military force or with preemptive wars, or with might or force is an oxymoron. Terrorism is an idea, and you cannot fight ideas with wanton force. The only way to win against terrorism is with another better and freely accepted idea. Now, you would think that some bright light in

journalism would be aware of this, journalism students at least when I went to college had to take at least two semesters of philosophy. Did all these dummies sleep through courses that involved philosophy, ethics, morals, and sociology? What I am saying here is that terrorism is not some new idea, it has been common practice since the Greek Republic. Terrorism is not a new invention it's greatest users were the Assassins who from about the eight century to the fifteenth century were a predominate force in the Middle East. In the fourteenth century they actually published a price list for assassinations. The Assassins were an outgrowth of the Fatimid Caliphate being Ismaili Muslims. We had better hope that the present crop of Shia Islamists is as poor in their study of history as our American journalists.

The most basic duty of the media is to keep the people informed. The failure of the media to carry out this one simple goal is proven. The fact that the media does not report major issues affecting America and Americans is an absolute fact. This month I sent a four-paragraph letter to the Herald Tribune; it related to County finances, they censored the letter to 36 words eliminating most of the financial data. Last month a member of the Israeli Knesset made the following statement; "*Montana has two senators in the American senate we have 50*" Could you imagine the utter turmoil if that statement had been made by a member of the PRC Central Committee? The fact of the matter is, that with 45 Zionists in American Legislatures and over 70 in the Executive they have more clout than any individual state or even region. The fact that this information is not forthcoming from the media, points to the detail that the media, like Congress is owned by the same group of people. On January 12/09 there was a vote in the American House of Representatives. The house voted to censure Hamas for the war in Palestine. The vote was five against and 389 for. That's right 221 Democrats and 168 Republicans voted to censure Hamas for Israeli aggression. Not one news-source in America reported the vote of this major issue in the first ten pages of their publications, and TV completely ignored it. I am sure that there will be people who disagree with my assessment so let me provide a historic timeline to substantiate my premises.

In order to understand the present situation in the Middle East you must be apprised of some history of the region.

- 1) Before and during WWI the Ottoman Empire centered in Turkey ruled the Middle East. This included what today we call, Israel, Palestine, Gaza, The West Bank, Armenia, Jordan, (Trans Jordan) Lebanon, Egypt, Syria, Iraq, and of course Turkey. Under Ottoman rule Jews, Christians, Muslims, lived together with reasonable peace but some discrimination against Christians and Jews. (About to 1912 AD)
- 2) England was bogged down in Palestine and Egypt in WWI in military action against the Ottoman Empire, while at the same time in a stalemate in France against Germany. The British commander in Palestine was Lord Allenby.
- 3) Allenby was unable to beat the Ottomans and needing an ally, (no troops were forthcoming from England), and thus

approached the Palestinians who hated the Ottoman Turk Empire.

- 4) The Palestinians agreed to militarily aid the British under the condition that the British Crown would grant the Palestinians autonomy to rule Palestine in perpetuity. (1913) A treaty or letter of agreement was drawn up signed by the crown in 1913.
- 5) At the end of WWI England was bankrupt, as was Germany and France.
- 6) The British Crown had two options; A) borrow monies from the United States who had plenty, or B) borrow the funds from Baron Nathan Rothschild the wealthiest man in the world in 1914 due to his banks financing of wars, including WWI..
- 7) The Crown approached Rothschild who was at the time president of the world Zionist Federation. Rothschild agreed to the bailout under the condition that Zionists get autonomy over a new nation to be called Israel and to be located in Palestine.
- 8) The armistice of Versailles was signed on November 11 1918, ending WWI, and setting up WWII. And on November 21 1917 the Crown gave Baron Rothschild the Balfour letter that granted the Zionists movement Palestine. The exact same lands that they had three years before given to the Palestinians, who had lived there for over 3,000 years, in 1913 and which did not belong to England.

The concept as propagated by the media that terrorism is the product of and invention of Islam is baloney. The development of 19th and 20th century terrorism in the Middle East is the product of Israel. Just two of the terrorist organizations operated under Israeli government control were the Hagana and the Irgun. They operated in the Middle East and around the world from about 1938 to the mid 50's when all Israeli terrorist organizations came under the umbrella of the Mossad. In fact Rom Emanuel's father (Benjamin) was a member of the Irgun and the present Israeli foreign minister was a Mossad agent. For the better part of fifteen years these organizations systematically assassinated Palestinian leaders in the Middle East. This included wholesale murder of Arab political leaders both Christian as well as Muslim, and the assassination of British officers stationed in Palestine from 1912 forward. This terrorism culminated the Hotel David attack in Jerusalem in which scores of British officers were murdered by placing a bomb in the Hotel. Don't worry no American News source will tell you this.

- 9) The Israeli state is founded in 1948 with the first recognizing nations being America. This caused a rift between America and Islam creating lasting hostilities.

The present Intifada that the media continuously blames on Hamas was in fact the result of actions taken by Sharon [Likud] (Schleineman) during his bid to become Prime minister of Israel. Uri Dan a longtime associate of Sharon has just this week accused him of having ordered the assassination of Yasser Arafat the leader of the Israeli backed and financed (\$100 million in the last election

campaign) Fatah movement. So likewise was an assassination of Sharon's primary rival in that election by a so called Zionist extremist, how you can get any more extreme than Sharon has never been addressed by the media. In any event Sharon was well aware of a decades old agreement by all, not to violate religious sites, Mosques, and Synagogues and Churches by all government agencies and individuals. In opposition to that widespread agreement Sharon took a large group of IDF soldiers on a visit to the Haram al Sharif (Dome of the Rock) Mosque in Jerusalem. When these men together with Sharon violated the inside of the Mosque wearing their boots carrying weapons and making noise, some youths began throwing rocks at them. They retaliated by shooting several children and then within two-week shot and killed scores of Palestinians. Sharon now posing as a hardliner demanded after several suicide bombings, retribution against the population of Gaza and all Arabs. That was what started the Intifada. The murder on January 7th 2009 of a UN controlled Christian school that was a shelter for women and children of forty civilians, and whose GPS location had been provided to the IDF is simply an expansion of the same sort of murderous actions by Israel against its neighbors. Another issue that was glossed over by our observant media.

The job of journalists is to be objective and fair in reporting current events. I do not think that any rational person can claim that the American media and News in America is not reported in a biased, one-sided pro government and anti-Arab way. If the president claims that Iraq has weapons of mass destruction, not one journalist investigates the pronouncement, no one examines the supposed supportive evidence that was totally fabricated by the Mossad, and everyone in the media jumps on the bandwagon and demands we attack Iraq. Nothing since that fiasco has changed; except we are now told that we have a new enemy, which is Iran with the same, petards of WOD's are heard again, not surprisingly, it like Iraq was, Iran is an opponent of Israel.

This all is not much different from the hype relating to Obama who has by the NY Times and every one of its 18 subsidiaries been named the Messiah who will save America. He did make a very good financial start by spending \$150 million on his inauguration, that's a mere \$100 million more than Bush, and as the first president to snub the inaugural ball for Medal of Honor recipients organized by the American Legion.

The ridiculous idea that we will bring democracy to the Middle East, or at best a semblance of American governance is so far off the mark as to make criticism redundant. It is another media coup. First of all it is based in a total lack of understanding of Islam, and worse than that no understanding of history. Iraq per example has been autocratically ruled for over 3,000 years, long before Islam. Likewise Iran's history also autocratic goes back before Europe was even civilized. To tell people that have exercised self-rule for over 3,000 years that a nation, which is 200 years old, knows better is an affront to intelligence. It is however a repeating theme in American media. Let me be frank, I immigrated to America in 1952 it was a wonderful nation, and is no longer so. In personal liberty, freedom of expression, independent thought, government, as well as commerce we have been sliding down a slippery slope for fifty years. I believe

that one of the primary causes of the downward slide is seated in the media's reluctance to report on issues that might prove unpopular with customers, but more importantly with advertisers. The prima fascia issue here is media consolidation, and the lack of proper completion on all fronts.

What then makes Arabs so opposed to our government? Note that they are not opposed to individual Americans, which they universally like. The Media informs us that they hate democracy that they dislike our free and open society that they are opposed to capitalism, and so forth. Rubbish! I have been in every nation of the Middle East and that is not true. I'll tell you exactly what they dislike. The first thing we did in Iraq after our military success, and takeover of their government was to legalize pornography. We then stole their entire treasury, and when we finally returned it some years later, three military transports full of \$100 bills, 80% of it disappeared. Our troops together with Iraqi criminal elements looted their national museum. All these are just symptomatic of our worst crime. We supply Israel all the military equipment they use. Tanks, APC's, Helicopters, Jet Fighters, Transport planes, guns, ammunition, bombs, all American and produced by us. These weapons contrary to signed agreements between Israel and America are used for preemptive war, assassinations of Palestinians leaders, and illegal invasions of one after another nation that borders Israel. We are the face of terror in the Middle East; Arabs see American equipment used to kill them and their populations, sure Israelis are operating them but if you look up in the air you don't see the pilot and if you look at the tank you don't see the driver.

The very next issue raised in the media is that Israel must be allowed to survive. Very well, I'm in no way opposed to that. But why should America be the fall guy for Israel? Why can't the nation in the Middle East that has over 400 nuclear warheads, and extensive delivery equipment including CBM's and ICBM's, and misled firing submarines, long-range bombers, and the largest most modern armored force in the region with the 16th highest per capita income in the world defend itself? None in the media has asked that question. The answer to that question is of course theocratic socialism. If Israel were an open and free society democratically run, and with a free market driven economy that traded freely with its neighbors there would be peace. Don't under any circumstance expect that to take place. You must read the Talmud to gain an understanding of the plan and that my friends if you can get an original translation, is a daunting task.

PS: This essay was written before the January 15th attacks in Gaza on the UN food distribution center compound and two hospitals and the world media center that housed all foreign journalists in Gaza all were attacked after this writing. .

Note: The IDF like most military changed their artillery FDC (Fire Direction Control) systems to GPS (Global Positioning Satellite) targeting in the 90's. The UN provides the IDF global positioning coordinates to prevent civilian and UN targets from being hit. Using GPS any artillery in the world is able to hit a target at any location with any artillery round within three feet. (I was in the US Army 3rd. Inf. Div. 9th Arty. HQ, of HQ, and can testify to that fact.

On the 14th of Jan 2009 the IDF using illegal white phosphorus heavy artillery rounds purposely targeted the aforementioned locations in Gaza City. The UN officers immediately telephoned the IDF, who continued the artillery barrage for extended time after the advisements wounding three and killing seven UN workers and an unknown number of Palestinians 700 of who were women and children in refuge at the food distribution center.

This proves beyond any reasonable doubt that these soft targets were attacked purposely. [The Talmud, non-Jews do not have souls and are animals]

Dr. Krieg's latest book is Before Departing...a few words ISBN 0-9748502-8-4